Application Brief Accuracy Comparison of Isolated Shunt and Closed-Loop Current Sensing

Alex Smith

Introduction

Several industrial and automotive applications such as on board chargers, solar inverters, DC charging (pile) stations, power conversion systems, and motor drives require isolation to protect the digital circuitry from the high-voltage circuit performing a measurement. Two ways to accomplish the isolated current sensing for these applications are isolated shunt based sensing and magnetic (Hall or flux-gate) based sensing. This document compares Texas Instruments AMC3302 a single-supply, isolated amplifier to a popular closed-loop current sensor (CLCS).

Technologies Overview

Isolated shunt based current sensing relies on measuring a voltage across a precise in-line resistor, known as a shunt resistor.



Figure 1. Isolated Shunt Based Current Sensing

The shunt resistance must be very accurate in order to produce the expected voltage for the supplied current, as any variation in expected resistance will contribute directly to a gain error. The benefit of shunt based current sensing is that it allows for industry leading accuracy, immunity to magnetic interference, scalability and small size.

CLCS uses a magnetic core to sense the magnetic field created by the current passing through the primary conductor. The magnetic field sensing element included in the CLCS is used to provide a compensation current that is applied to the magnetic core. This compensation current creates a flux that is equal in magnitude, but in the opposite direction of the

📲 Texas Instruments

flux created by the primary conductor; producing a zero-flux measurement. Magnetic based current sensing is vulnerable to magnetic interference which can affect the offset and linearity performance of the device.



Figure 2. Hall Based Closed-Loop Sensor

Additional information comparing the two technologies can be found here.

Test Setup

A test setup was created in order to directly compare the performance of these two technologies. A DC current source, electronic load and digital multi-meters were used to capture data for a +/-85A primary current sweep at three different temperatures; -40C, 25C and 85C. All measurements were automated according to IEEE488.



Figure 3. AMC3302 Circuit and CLCS Test Setup Block Diagram

Please note that the $500\mu\Omega$ Shunt 1 used for the AMC3302 circuit measurement and $500\mu\Omega$ Shunt 2 used for the control measurement were not subjected to changes in ambient temperature, therefor the shunt temperature drift error is not included in this analysis.

1



Both shunts are rated for $\pm 0.25\%$ tolerance, ± 15 ppm/°C temperature coefficient and 20W power dissipation.

The circuit diagram below shows the AMC3302 and TLV6002 circuit used for the accuracy comparison. Channel 1 of the TLV6002 was used to buffer a reference voltage generated via resistor divider while the differential output of the AMC3302 was converted from differential to single-ended via channel 2. Thus the AMC3302 circuit has an identical interface as the CLCS; VDD, GND, VREF and VOUT.



Figure 4. AMC3302 Circuit Diagram

Below is the AMC3302 Printed Circuit Board (PCB). The PCB was designed such that the AMC3302 circuit fits within the same x, y footprint as the CLCS, 13.4mm x 21.9mm. The AMC3302 PCB is much smaller in terms of height; 2.6mm compared to 16mm for the CLCS, a reduction in height of 84%.



Figure 5. AMC3302 Circuit Printed Circuit Board

Accuracy Comparison

2

Figure 6. shows the accuracy results for the +/-85A primary current sweep over temperature in terms of error as a percentage of full-scale output after a 25C offset calibration. The AMC3302 circuit results are shown in shades of red and the CLCS in blue. The AMC3302 circuit is very accurate over the full current and temperature range without gain calibration, better than 0.1%. The CLCS shows worse gain error drift and linearity performance compared to the AMC3302 circuit, resulting in an overall error greater than 0.5%. The AMC3302 circuit offers an accuracy improvement

of more than 5x compared to the CLCS over the full current and temperature range.



Figure 6. Accuracy Comparison for AMC3302 Circuit and Closed-loop Current Sensor After Offset Calibration

Below shows an accuracy comparison table of absolute maximum error.

Temperature	-40C	25C	85C
AMC3302 Circuit	-0.077%	-0.029%	0.035%
CLCS	-0.356%	-0.492%	-0.573%

Conclusion

The table below summarizes the comparison of the AMC3302 circuit and the CLCS. For systems requiring industry leading accuracy, the AMC3302 circuit shows a clear advantage compared to the CLCS. The size of the AMC3302 circuit used for this comparison is equal size in terms of x and y dimensions, while showing a clear advantage in terms of height, z. The AMC3302 circuit also offers immunity to magnetic interference, as well as scalability.

	AMC3302 Circuit	CLCS
Accuracy	++	+
Size	+	-
Magnetic Immunity	++	
Scalability	++	-
Ease of Design	+	++

IMPORTANT NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

TI PROVIDES TECHNICAL AND RELIABILITY DATA (INCLUDING DATA SHEETS), DESIGN RESOURCES (INCLUDING REFERENCE DESIGNS), APPLICATION OR OTHER DESIGN ADVICE, WEB TOOLS, SAFETY INFORMATION, AND OTHER RESOURCES "AS IS" AND WITH ALL FAULTS, AND DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS AND IMPLIED, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THIRD PARTY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS.

These resources are intended for skilled developers designing with TI products. You are solely responsible for (1) selecting the appropriate TI products for your application, (2) designing, validating and testing your application, and (3) ensuring your application meets applicable standards, and any other safety, security, regulatory or other requirements.

These resources are subject to change without notice. TI grants you permission to use these resources only for development of an application that uses the TI products described in the resource. Other reproduction and display of these resources is prohibited. No license is granted to any other TI intellectual property right or to any third party intellectual property right. TI disclaims responsibility for, and you will fully indemnify TI and its representatives against, any claims, damages, costs, losses, and liabilities arising out of your use of these resources.

TI's products are provided subject to TI's Terms of Sale or other applicable terms available either on ti.com or provided in conjunction with such TI products. TI's provision of these resources does not expand or otherwise alter TI's applicable warranties or warranty disclaimers for TI products.

TI objects to and rejects any additional or different terms you may have proposed.

Mailing Address: Texas Instruments, Post Office Box 655303, Dallas, Texas 75265 Copyright © 2022, Texas Instruments Incorporated